

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,824	11/14/2003	Haiqing Wang	50055/4 9846	
7590 01/26/2006			EXAMINER	
STOEL RIVES LLP			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
One Utah Cente	г			
Suite 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201 South Main Street			3724	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84111		DATE MAILED, OLDEDOO	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,824	WANG, HAIQING			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any o	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action replaces the previous Office Action mailed on 06/16/05. The previous Office Action was based on the foreign claims received on 02/20/05, rather than the original claim of the application.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the obtuse angle must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/706,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a link member a rear end portion" and "a pushing member a rear end portion". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 5, it is not clear what "an angle formed between lines through an axle center of a first eccentric shaft 5, an axle center of said driving gear 7 and an axle center of a second eccentric shaft 8 is an obtuse angle" encompasses. How are the lines interconnected and between which lines is the obtuse angle created?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3724

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. As best understood, claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michaelson (3,785,053) in view of Fuchs et al. (DE 3643279 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Michaelson discloses the invention substantially as claimed a housing 51, a plunger 54, a driving gear 43, a second eccentric shaft 47, a sleeve bearing 58 and a link shaft 61. However, Regarding claim 1-4, Fuchs et al. teaches the use of a first eccentric shaft 10, a pushing member 21, a lifting member 24, a sleeve bearing 26, a spring (see Fig. 1) and an obtuse angle (see Fig. 2) for the purpose of releasing the sawdust from the teeth of the cutting tool. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Michaelson's saw by providing the first eccentric shaft, the pushing member, the lifting member, the sleeve bearing, the spring and the obtuse angle as taught by Fuchs et al. in order to obtain a device that releases the sawdust from the teeth of the cutting tool for improving the cutting action.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach a bearing having an exterior radial connecting pin and a second portion of a rocker engaging with the exterior radial connecting pin as set forth in claim 5.

Page 5

Fuchs et al. teaches a bearing 26. However, Fuchs et al. fails to teach the exterior radial connecting pin and the second portion of the rocker engaging with the exterior radial connecting pin.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Walton, Bergler et al., Dravnieks, Dürr et al. and Splane are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,824

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

ofs

December 15, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700